

A Framework for Multi-Level Security in Wireless Sensor Networks

Sajal K. Das

Center for Research in Wireless Mobility & Networking (CReWMaN)

Department of Computer Science and Engineering The University of Texas at Arlington, USA

E-mail: das@cse.uta.edu

http://crewman.uta.edu

Acknowledgements

National Science Foundation, Texas Advanced Research Program



Outline



"Education is the manifestation of the perfection already in man." - Swami Vivekananda

- Introduction to Wireless Sensor Networks (WSNs)
- Security Challenges and Need for Multi-level Approach
- Modeling Node Compromises by Epidemic Theory
- Trust / Belief Model for Secure Data Aggregation
- Revoking Compromised Nodes
- Conclusion



WSN Applications

Monitoring and Control

- Habitat
- Environment
- Ecosystem
- Agricultural
- Structural
- Traffic
- Manufacturing
- Health



Ecosystems, Biocomplexity ElderCare





Sensor Augmented Fire Response



Manufacturing Seismic Structure Response

Security and Surveillance

- Border and Perimeter Control
- Target Tracking
- Intrusion Detection





Pervasively Secure Infrastructures





nos forteres

Two-Tier Architecture of PSI





Lower tier (front-end): pervasive network of smart sensors and embedded devices monitoring security missions all the time

Higher tier (back-end): mines collected data, discovers knowledge and patterns, makes intelligent decisions to provide security services





Characteristics of WSNs



- Task (application)-specific information gathering platform. Immediate reporting on critical changes of phenomenon → event driven.
- High density deployment and highly limited resources (battery, CPU, memory, sensing range, communication bandwidth).
- Frequent topology changes due to node mobility and failures. No knowledge of global topology. Generally, ad hoc deployment.
- Distributed collaboration for information gathering, processing and decision making.



Characteristics of WSNs (Cont'd)



- In-network processing (data fusion/aggregation, compression), exploit spatial / temporal redundancy to reduce communication.
- Broadcast based data dissemination many-to-one, one-to-many, push (interest sensed by sensors) and pull (on demand).
- Data centric operations (e.g., routing) instead of address centric.



Outline



- Introduction to Wireless Sensor Networks (WSNs)
- Security Challenges and Need for Multi-level Approach
- Node Compromise Modeling by Epidemic Theory
- Trust / Belief Model for Secure Aggregation
- Revoking Compromised Nodes
- Conclusion



Security Challenges in WSNs



- Limited resources → Limited defense capability
 - Public key too costly to authenticate packets with digital signatures and disclose key with each packet

- Storing one-way chain of keys along message route requires more memory and computation for en-route nodes

- Uncertain, unattended / hostile environment
 Faulty prone nature vs. compromises
- No centralized control
- In-network processing \rightarrow Loss of integrity, confidentiality
- Multiple-attacking angles
 - → Single level defense mechanism highly vulnerable
 - Cryptographic technique is not the panacea



Threats to WSNs



Node Compromises and Intrusions

- Physical capture
- Sophisticated analysis: differential timing / energy analysis

Revealed Secrets

- Cryptographic keys, codes, commands, etc.

Enemy's Puppeteers

- Trojans in the network with full trust



Need for Multi-level Solution



Attack at multiple possible levels to be defended

- Model the propagation of node compromises
 - E.g., trojan virus spreading
- Detect compromised nodes & forged data
 - E.g., abnormal reports
- Revoke revealed secrets
 - E.g., broadcast confidentiality
- Self-correct and purge false data
 - E.g., average temperature calculation







Uniqueness



- Full spectrum, multi-level, integrative approach
 - Defend against the whole process of compromise
 - Model, detect, revoke, correct, and purge node compromises and adversary attacks
- Rich and powerful theoretical foundations
 - Epidemic theory, information theory, cryptography, trust / belief model, game theory, etc.
 - Each uniquely exploited for defense against specific attacks
 - Joint, complementary defense results
- Direct translation to robust WSN architecture design
 - Secure routing, secure aggregation, key management, intrusion detection, etc.
 - Plug and play, reusable suite of security modules

Outline



- Introduction to Wireless Sensor Networks (WSNs)
- Security Challenges and Need for Multi-level Approach
- Node Compromise Modeling by Epidemic Theory
- Trust / Belief Model for Secure Aggregation
- Revoking Compromised Nodes
- Conclusion



Modeling Compromises: Epidemic Defense



- Premise: Node compromises
 - -Capture node deployment, key distribution, topology
 - -Outbreak possible unless controlled

• Objectives:

- -Construct a model and analyze the spread of node compromises in WSNs based on Epidemic Theory
- Characterize outbreak transition point of compromise process
- Study the impact of infectivity duration of a compromised node on the process
- -Capture the time dynamics of the spread
- -Identify critical parameters to prevent outbreaks

[P. De, Y. Liu, and S. Das, "Modeling Node Compromise Spreading in wireless Sensor Networks using Epidemic Theory," *IEEE WoWMoM*, June 2006.]



System Model



Random Pair-wise Key Pre-distribution
A set of keys randomly chosen from a key pool



Physical Topology



Virtual Key-Sharing Topology



System Model



S. K. Das

Epidemic Models

- -Susceptible-Infected-Susceptible (SIS) Model
- -Susceptible-Infected-Recovered (SIR) Model
- -Homogeneously mixed population
 - Differential equation based formulation for the infection process
- -Heterogeneously mixed population

Spread of node compromise

- the number of contacts is determined by degree distribution of the key sharing network

• Static WSN is not fully mixed \rightarrow random graph approach



Sensor Network Topology Model



- $\rho = \frac{N}{R^2}$ denotes the node density of the network
 - N: total number of nodes, R: sensing radius
- p = probability of link existence at the physical level

$$p = \frac{r^2 \rho}{N}$$

-r is the average communication range between nodes

 Probability that / nodes are within communication range is given by

$$p(l) = \binom{N}{l} p^l (1-p)^{N-l}$$



Sensor Topology Model



- q = prob. of sharing pair-wise key between neighboring nodes
- Probability of sharing at least one key with exactly k neighbors given / nodes within its range is given by:

$$p(k|l) = \binom{l}{k} q^k (1-q)^{l-k}$$

Probability of having k neighbors sharing at least one key is:

$$p(k) = \sum_{l=k}^{\infty} p(l) p(k|l)$$

$$p(k) = \sum_{l=k}^{\infty} {\binom{N}{l}} p^{l} (1-p)^{N-l} {\binom{l}{k}} q^{k} (1-q)^{l-k}$$



Epidemic Analysis



S. K. Das

- When nodes do not recover, transmissibility (T) is expressed only in terms of the infection probability, \beta
- Node recovery is captured by expressing transmissibility as a function of average duration of infectivity, $\boldsymbol{\tau}$

$$1 - T = \lim_{\delta t \to 0} (1 - \beta \delta t)^{\tau/\delta t} \qquad T = 1 - e^{\beta \tau}$$

Average cluster size as epidemic attains outbreak proportions

$$s = 1 + \frac{TG'_{0}(1)}{1 - TG'_{1}(1)}$$

• Average Epidemic size after outbreak results

$$S = 1 - G_0(u)$$
$$u = G_1(u)$$



Non-epidemic cluster size with infection probability CSECUTA

- q = prob. of sharing pair-wise key between neighboring nodes
- *p* = probability of link existence at the physical level



Epidemic Size with infection probability





Non-epidemic cluster size with infectivity duration CSECUTA 150 $\beta = 0.2$ $\beta = 0.04$ $\beta = 0.02$ $\beta = 0.01$ Size of Cluster Compromised N = 1000100 q = 0.04p = 0.2550 50 100 150 ñ Infectivity Duration τ

Epidemic Size with infectivity duration





